UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JASON ROTHMAN, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

Case No.: 2:20-cv-09760-CAS-MRWx

12 Situated

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff,

Ш

v.

EQUINOX HOLDINGS, INC. and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,

REPRESENTATIVE AND CLASS COUNSEL

ORDER RE: MOTION FOR

Defendants.

On January, 2024, Plaintiff filed his Motion for Class Certification and for Appointment of Class Counsel and Class Representative. The Court has considered the parties' submissions, and Plaintiffs' Motion is hereby **GRANTED**.

It is **FURTHER ORDERED** as follows:

- (1) The proposed Class satisfies the requirements of Rule 23(a) because it is sufficiently numerous and objectively ascertainable; common issues of fact and law unite the Class; Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class; and Plaintiff and their counsel will adequately represent the Class;
- (2) The requirements of Rule 23(b)(3) are satisfied because common issues predominate over individual issues, and a class action is a superior method of adjudicating this case;

3

6

9 10

12

11

13 14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27

28

Accordingly, the proposed Class is hereby certified under Rule 23(b) as (3) follows:

All Equinox members with a Home Club in California, whose Membership Agreement included the Refund Clause, and who did not receive a refund of prepaid membership dues for any period during which Defendants' Equinox-branded Fitness Clubs were closed.

Excluded from all of the above-defined putative classes ("Classes") are: (1) Defendant and any entity in which Defendant has or had a controlling interest; (2) the officers and directors of Defendant at all relevant times, the members of Defendant's officer's and director's immediate families and their legal representatives, heirs, successors, or assigns; and (3) any judge to whom this action is assigned and any members of such judges' staffs and immediate family members.

- Plaintiff Jason Rothman is hereby appointed as class representative for the **(4)** Class.
- Trenton R. Kashima and John Nelson, of Milberg Coleman Bryson Phillips (5) Grossman PLLC, and Jason Thompson, of Sommers Schwartz P.C., are hereby appointed as Class Counsel, pursuant to Rule 23(g).
- The parties shall meet and confer regarding the provision and contents of (6)class notice within fourteen days of this order. Plaintiff shall file his motion for approval of class notice within twenty-one days of this order. To the extent that Defendant opposes Plaintiff's proposed class notice, Defendant's opposition will be due within fourteen days of the date of filing of Plaintiff's motion. Plaintiff's reply will be due within twenty-one days of the date of filing of Plaintiff's motion.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 15, 2024

Rhristine a. Smyde

Hon. Christina A. Snyder United States District Court